

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP		Date	
TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)		Initials	Date
1.	DDCI		
2.	IA		
3.	DDA		
4.	D/OP		
5.			

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

Ev Hineman has requested an EXCOM to discuss the CT Program's "longer-term objectives" and whether it should be Agency-wide.

I pulled the JOT History and extracted in the attached some pertinent pieces. Note a certain deja vu re quotas, university contacts, internals, use of test results, etc.

25X1

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
EXDIR	Phone No.

5041-102

☆ GPO : 1981 O - 341-529 (120)

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

DCI
EXEC
REG

B-234

13-6,244

NOTES FROM: JOT History 1950-66 [OTR-11, June 1973]

Pg.

- 2 OSO/OPC had a Training Division for clandestine activity. No Agency program for recruitment and training for the intelligence profession.
- 6 Baird was retired Army - reactivated for Korea in Air Force: not member of OSS club and not accepted.
- 12 Baird's deputy, Dr. John Whitehouse had much informal university contact.
- 15 CS (Clandestine Service=DDP) didn't accept JOTs early on -- not til early 1953.
- 36 Elite corps concept was not a Baird concept: to the contrary. It stemmed from OSS old-boy suspicion of Baird.
- 44 Original concept: "University Contacts" plan -- at 50 colleges [faculty or admin members] "spot" for Agency: individuals cleared and brought in once a year to HQ [29 East Coast; 15 mid-West; 6 West].
- 47 OTR to run professional trainee program - OP to assist: D/OTR did not think it necessary to identify contacts; only 7 OP recruiters in field. Problem. Additional source of JOTs envisioned: Armed Forces separation centers.
- 50 Eccles description of kind of officer wanted: brains, loyal; money not main objective in life, one who can take it mentally, stable, hard-working, realistic.
- 56-57 University Consultant target never reached - actual effectiveness questionable [retrospective evaluation done by Eccles in 1971: see pg 60].
- 65 Quotas not reached: early 1963 25X1
D/OP was asked for explanation. Shortfall continued thru 1966; reasons: shortage of field recruiters; processing dropout. Ratio of those put in process to those EOD, 8:1.
- 70 Clearance delay biggest dropout factor.
- 71 So - advertise (1961); increase alumni use; increase business contacts
- 73 After 1950-66 recruiting period, problem still unsolved. Solution: internals [NOTE: 1951 Baird study envisioned: 1) a professional trainee program; 2) the career corps program (the latter for selection of outstanding young employees for training and career development).]
- 77 Internals comprised, eventually, 1/4 of the class totals - and were most beneficial to the program.
- 88 Test results: Eccles regretted growing tendency by psychologists to use them as "absolute determinations of suitability".
- 89 Soon, psychiatrists were doing actual selections of candidates instead of simply indicating qualifications or disqualifications.
- 95 IG survey recommended increase in JOT Division staff for one each from DDS, DDP, DDI [1956].

SECRET

Pg.

- 99 "If there was any one factor in Eccles leadership . . . it was his adamant refusal to compromise the quality of the program."
- 102 Monitoring of on-the-job performance was one of the [JOT Division] staff officer's most important duties.
- 103 "DTR was always sensitive to student criticism -- often without determining the validity of it."
- 112 Thru mid-54, the six-week Basic Intelligence Course constituted the formal training program for JOTs . . . after initial aloofness, the CS received about 75% of the JOTs; Phase II of Ops Courses opened to them. By 1956 new courses: Intelligence Orientation (2 weeks); Intl.Communist (2 weeks); and Intelligence Techniques (4 weeks): first two mandatory for all Agency professionals.
- 115 By 1958, Program was major source of young professionals - decision to systematize recruiting and selection for EOD once a year in one group.
- 125 Concept of bringing all new professionals in via JOT program gained popularity -- but not in OTR, who thought it would defeat one of the major objectives of the program; viz, placement after demonstrated competence for and interest in the specific job . . . DDS and ExDir decision in 1964 to increase number of annual JOT classes from two to four JOT graduates each year, [redacted] and an authorized ceiling for JOTs increased to [redacted]
- 126 Recruiting fell far below established goals; individual classes much smaller than the plans stipulated. Concept of bringing in all professionals through the JOT program faded away.
- 143 IG report (1956) addressed the need for a scheduled program for recruitment of JOTs and systematic EOD of pre-determined number for scheduled placement after training. No practicable quota system was developed until 1959.
- 145 By Feb 1960 the JOT staff "tried to approach reality by establishing its own quotas for component assignments".
- 156 "JOT staff control after training . . . [was] eroded by budget pressures and the DDP's need for productive bodies".
- 158 Major Problems: Control of the Program [OTR or OP?]. IG suggested merging OP and OTR under a single Director.
- 162 Tom Karamessines, DDP/TRO, said "instead of expanding the program someone should raise the question whether or not OTR and O/Pers were recruiting too many JOTs . . . he didn't think all new professionals should come in through the JOTP, the divisions should be allowed to recruit directly."
- 171 Causes of attrition: disenchantment with training; discontent with initial job assignments; dissatisfaction with promotion policy; [completion of military commitments - for Military JOTs]; offers of better paying jobs and - among women JOTs - marriage.

25X1
25X1

Pg.

- 194 "Perhaps the most significant experiential lesson that can be drawn from the history of the JOT program is that the success of the program probably derived from the fact that it was conducted not as a personnel program but as a program for people -- carefully selected people who became distinct individuals at the beginning and remained so throughout the training and placement periods."
- 206 Eccles charge to new JOTs: "You enter the CIA to serve your country, not for self-aggrandizement, public recognition or an exciting life in exotic parts of the world. Ours is difficult work, requiring a keen mind, selfless effort, personal sacrifice and utmost dedication . . ."